SINGLE BENCH 3

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE CHHATTISGARH

AT BILASPUR

W.P.NO. 656 / 2006

Petitioner :

Kunj Bihari Baghel
S/o Late Shri Bhimsen Baghel
Aged about 26 years,
R/o Village Tetalkhuti,
P.S. – Deobhogh,
Block - Nainpur, Tehsil – Gariyaband,
Dist : Raipur-(C.G.)

R.R. No. 644/06
Presented by Shri Rajan Pushkar
dated 6/2/06

Versus

Respondents :

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through - The Secretary
Department of Forest Resources,
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur-(C.G.)

2. The Chief Conservator of Forest
(Wild Life) Raipur, Dist : Raipur-Board-(C.G.)

3. The Conservator of Forest
Circle – Jagdalpur, Jagdalpur

4. The Divisional Forest Officer
Bijapur, Dist : Bastar-(C.G.)

5. State of Madhya Pradesh
Through – Secretary General Administration Department Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal-(M.P.)

6. Union of India
Through – Secretary Department of Personal and Public Grievances New Delhi.

RIC for Hearing 06/02/06
THAKUR VIJAY SINGH
Asst. Solicitor General of India
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT
High Court Campus, Bilaspur (C.G.)

RECEIVED-COPY
D. Rajak 6/2/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION U/A 226 / 227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 656/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	8-2-2006 Petitioner by Shri G.K. Rao, Advocate. Shri A.S. Kachhawha, Dy. Govt. Adv. for the State of C.G. Shri Rajneesh Shrivastava for Shri Shailendra Dubey, counsel for Union of India. Application for urgent hearing is allowed. With consent, heard finally. This case relates to compassionate appointment consequent upon the death of an employee serving in the State. Learned counsel for the petitioner categorically stated that, the death of the employee has occurred on 3-10-2000 i.e. after 1-11-1997 and not earlier. It is further submitted that in batch of cases bearing W.P. No.1322/2002 involving the same question orders have been passed by this Court on 20/12/2005. He prays that similar orders may be passed in this case also. Learned counsel for the State does not dispute the above fact and states that the State of Chhattisgarh has taken a decision to consider the cases of dependants of those employees who died on or after 1/11/1997 strictly in accordance with the policy prevalent at that time. He however submits that four months time for issuing necessary orders may be given. In view of the submissions made by learned counsel appearing for the parties, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the State of Chhattisgarh and the authorities concerned to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in accordance with the policy prevalent at the time of death of the employee concerned. If the petitioner found eligible, appointment orders will be issued as early as possible preferably within 4 months from the date of production/receipt of a copy of this order. The petitioner is also directed to render due co-operation to the authorities. With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. Copy to the State counsel, who will be obliged to communicate the order to the respondents for compliance. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	

[पीछे देखिये